## **CLAIM AMENDMENTS**

### **Claim Amendment Summary**

#### **Claims pending**

- Before this Amendment: Claims 1-47.
- After this Amendment: Claims 1, 4-17, and 20-47.

Non-Elected, Canceled, or Withdrawn claims: 2, 3, 18, and 19.

**Amended claims**: 1, 17, 25, and 42.

New claims: None.

#### **Claims:**

## 1. (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

using a system definition model in a development phase of a system to design the system, wherein the system is an application;

subsequently using the system definition model in a deployment phase of the system to deploy the system on one or more computing devices; and

after deployment of the system, using the system definition model in a management phase of the system to manage the system deployed on the one or more computing devices.

# 2. (Canceled)

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

P™ Www.lechoyes.com 509.324.9256

3. (Canceled)

**4. (Original)** A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

using knowledge obtained during management of the system to design a

subsequent version of the system.

**5. (Original)** A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system

definition model includes knowledge describing how to deploy the system on the

one or more computing devices.

**6. (Original)** A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system

definition model includes knowledge describing how to deploy the system on

multiple different computing devices, and wherein the knowledge includes

different knowledge describing how to deploy the system on each of the multiple

different computing devices.

**7.** (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system

definition model includes constraints that must be satisfied by the one or more

computing devices in order for the system to be run on the one or more

computing devices.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

Www.leetnayes.com 509.324.8256

**8.** (**Original**) A method as recited in claim 7, wherein the system definition model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by

the one or more computing devices during design of the system.

(Original) A method as recited in claim 7, wherein the system

definition model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by

the one or more computing devices during design of the system and during

management of the system.

9.

10. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system

definition model includes knowledge describing how to manage the system after

deployment of the system.

**11. (Original)** A method as recited in claim 1, further comprising:

during management of the system, using a flow to automatically

propagate a configuration change to the system.

**12. (Original)** A method as recited in claim 1, wherein the system is

deployed to an environment on the one or more computing devices, the method

further comprising, prior to the design, deployment, and management of the

system:

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

lee hayes The Business of IP \*\*\*

www.leetrayes.com 509.324.8256

using another system definition model to design the environment;

subsequently using the other system definition model to deploy the

environment on the one or more computing devices; and

after deployment of the environment, using the other system definition

model to manage the environment deployed on the one or more computing

devices.

**13**. (Original) A method as recited in claim 12, wherein the system

definition model for the environment is derived through examination of the

configuration of one or more computing devices.

(Original) A method as recited in claim 12, wherein the system

definition model includes constraints that must be satisfied by the environment in

order for the system to be run on the one or more computing devices, and

wherein the other system definition model includes other constraints that must

be satisfied by the system in order for the system to be run on the one or more

computing devices.

**15**. (Original) A method as recited in claim 1, wherein a plurality of

environments are deployed on the one or more computing devices, the method

further comprising:

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

IEE MAVES The Business of IP™

using a plurality of different system definition models to design each of the

plurality of environments, wherein each of the plurality of environments is

associated with one of the plurality of different system definition models;

using, for each environment, the associated one of the plurality of different

system definition models to deploy the environment; and

after deployment, using, for each environment, the associated one of the

plurality of different system definition models to manage the environment.

(**Original**) A method as recited in claim 15, wherein each of the

plurality of environments is layered, and wherein each of the plurality of

environments serves as environment to one other of the plurality of

environments or to the system.

(Currently Amended) One or more computer readable storage **17**.

media having stored thereon a plurality of instructions that when executed by a

processor, cause the processor to:

use a system definition model in a development phase of a system

to design the system, wherein the system is an application;

subsequently use the system definition model in a deployment

phase of the system to deploy the system on one or more computing

devices; and

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

IEE MAVES The Business of IP™ www.leetrayes.com 509.324.9256

-8-

after deployment of the system, use the system definition model in a management phase of the system to manage the system deployed on the one or more computing devices.

18. (Canceled)

19. (Canceled)

**20.** (**Previously Presented**) One or more computer readable

storage media as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model

includes knowledge describing how to deploy the system.

**21.** (**Previously Presented**) One or more computer readable

storage media as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model

includes knowledge describing how to deploy the system in multiple different

environments, and wherein the knowledge includes different knowledge

describing how to deploy the system in each of the multiple different

environments.

**22.** (**Previously Presented**) One or more computer readable

storage media as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

Www.leetrayes.com 509.324.8256

includes constraints that must be satisfied by an environment in order for the

system to be run in the environment.

**23.** (**Previously Presented**) One or more computer readable

storage media as recited in claim 22, wherein to use the system definition model

to deploy the system is to use the system definition model to check whether the

constraints are satisfied by the environment during design of the system.

**24.** (**Previously Presented**) One or more computer readable

storage media as recited in claim 17, wherein the system definition model

includes knowledge describing how to manage the system.

**25.** (Currently Amended) An apparatus comprising:

a processor;

means operable by the processor for using a system definition model in a

development phase of a system to design the system, wherein the system is an

application;

means operable by the processor for subsequently using the system

definition model in a deployment phase of the system to deploy the system on

-10-

one or more computing devices; and

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

Www.leetrayes.com 509.324.8256

means operable by the processor for, after deployment of the system, using the system definition model in a management phase of the system to manage the system deployed on the one or more computing devices.

**26.** (**Previously Presented**) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the means for subsequently using the system definition model in a development phase comprises means for including, in the system definition

model, knowledge describing how to deploy the system.

27. (Previously Presented) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the means for subsequently using the system definition model in a development phase comprises means for including, in the system definition model, knowledge describing how to deploy the system in multiple different environments, and wherein the knowledge includes different knowledge describing how to deploy the system in each of the multiple different

environments.

**28.** (**Previously Presented**) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, wherein the means for using the system definition model in a development phase of a system comprises means for including, in the system definition model,

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

lee&hayes The Business of IP \*\*\*
www.lsohayes.com 509.324.9256

constraints that must be satisfied by an environment in order for the system to be run in the environment.

(Previously Presented)

An apparatus as recited in claim 28,

wherein the means for using the system definition model in a development

phase of a system comprises means for using the system definition model to

check whether the constraints are satisfied by the environment during design of

the system.

29.

(**Previously Presented**) An apparatus as recited in claim 25, **30.** 

wherein the means for using the system definition model in a management

phase of the system comprises means for including, in the system definition

model, knowledge describing how to manage the system.

31. (Previously Presented) A system comprising:

a processor; and

a plurality of executable instructions which, when executed by the

processor, perform operations comprising:

using a system definition model to design an application, the system

definition model being applicable across a lifecycle of the application,

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

The Business of IP \*\* www.leetrayes.com 509.324.9256

wherein the lifecycle of the application includes design of the application,

deployment of the application, and management of the application;

subsequently using the system definition model to deploy the

application on one or more computing devices; and

after deployment of the application, using the system definition

model to manage the application deployed on the one or more computing

devices;

wherein the system further includes a schema to dictate how

functional operations within the system definition model are to be

specified.

**32.** (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system

definition model includes information describing how to deploy the application.

**33.** (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system

definition model includes information describing how to deploy the application in

multiple different environments, and wherein the information includes different

information describing how to deploy the application in each of the multiple

different environments.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

-13-

ICC IN The Business of IP \*\*

www.lisehoyes.com 509.324.9256

**34.** (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system

definition model includes constraints that must be satisfied by an environment in

order for the application to be run in the environment.

**35.** (**Original**) A system as recited in claim 34, wherein the system

definition model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by

one or more computing devices in the system during design of the application

and during management of the application.

**36.** (Original) A system as recited in claim 34, wherein the system

definition model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by

the environment during design of the application.

**37.** (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system

definition model includes information describing how to manage the application.

**38.** (Original) A system as recited in claim 31, wherein the system

further comprises:

another system definition model applicable across a lifecycle of an

environment, wherein the lifecycle of the environment includes design of the

-14-

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

lee@hayes

The Business of IP \*\*\*

environment, deployment of the environment, and management of the

environment; and

wherein the schema is further to dictate how functional operations within

the other system definition model are to be specified.

**39.** (Previously Presented) A system as recited in claim 38,

wherein the system definition model for the environment is derived through

examination of the configuration of one or more computing devices.

(Original) A system as recited in claim 38, wherein the system 40.

definition model includes constraints that must be satisfied by the environment in

order for the application to be run on the environment, and wherein the other

system definition model includes other constraints that must be satisfied by the

application in order for the application to be run on the environment.

(Original) A system as recited in claim 38, wherein the system 41.

further comprises:

an additional system definition model applicable across a lifecycle of an

additional environment, wherein the lifecycle of the additional environment

includes design of the additional environment, deployment of the additional

environment, and management of the additional environment;

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

IEE A haves The Business of IP \*\*

wherein the additional environment is layered below the environment; and

wherein the schema is further to dictate how functional operations within

the additional system definition model are to be specified.

**42.** (Currently Amended) A method comprising:

using a system definition model in a development phase of a system to

design the system, the system definition model being specific to the system,

wherein the system is comprises an application;

subsequently using the system definition model in a deployment phase of

the system to deploy the system on one or more computing devices;

after deployment of the system, using the system definition model in a

management phase of the system to manage the system deployed on the one or

more computing devices;

prior to the design, deployment, and management of the system,

using another system definition model to design an environment,

wherein the system is deployed to the environment on the one or more

computing devices;

subsequently using the other system definition model to deploy the

environment on the one or more computing devices; and

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

-16-

after deployment of the environment, using the other system

definition model to manage the environment deployed on the one or more

computing devices;

wherein the system definition model includes constraints that must be

satisfied by the environment in order for the system to be run on the one or

more computing devices, and wherein the other system definition model includes

other constraints that must be satisfied by the system in order for the system to

be run on the one or more computing devices.

43. (Original) A method as recited in claim 42, wherein the system

definition model includes information describing how to deploy the system.

(Original) A method as recited in claim 42, wherein the system

definition model includes information describing how to deploy the system in

multiple different runtimes, and wherein the information includes different

information describing how to deploy the system in each of the multiple different

runtimes.

45. (Original) A method as recited in claim 42, wherein the system

definition model includes constraints that must be satisfied by the runtime in

-17-

order for the system to be run in the runtime.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US

Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck

The Business of IP \*\*

**46. (Original)** A method as recited in claim 45, wherein the system definition model can be used to check whether the constraints are satisfied by the runtime during design of the system.

**47. (Original)** A method as recited in claim 42, wherein the system definition model includes information describing how to manage the system in the runtime.

Serial No.: 10/693,838 Atty Docket No.: MS1-1778US Atty/Agent: Robert C. Peck